

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/608,537	06/30/2000		Arun Vishwanathan	126	9821
7	590	06/07/2004	EXAMINER		
Rodel Holdin	_		ELEY, TIMOTHY V		
Attn Kenneth A			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Suite 1300			3724	76	
Wilmington, I	DE 1989	99	DATE MAILED: 06/07/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•								
		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
4		09/608,5	37	VISHWANATHAN ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit				
		Timothy \	/ Eley	3724				
	The MAILING DATE of this commu	nication appears on th	e cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
Period fo				VO. 50014				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNING THE PROPERTY OF THIS COMMUNING THE PROPERTY OF THE PRO	NICATION.  ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no extended in the second in the se	vent, however, may a reply be to tutory minimum of thirty (30) da vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror plication to become ABANDON	imely filed  sys will be considered timely.  the mailing date of this communication  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) fi	led on .						
2a)□		2b) This action is r	non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		rosecution as to the merits is	6			
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
	Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the	application						
٠,١	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/	• •	onsideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· · _	Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) are subject to restr	iction and/or election i	requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by t	he Examiner.						
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are		) objected to by the	Examiner.				
,—	Applicant may not request that any obj		•					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	Ŧ · ·	<del>-</del>	· ·	d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected	•	-,	·	,			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	n for foreign priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. & 119 <i>0</i>	a)-(d) or (f)				
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	ir for foreign priority ar	140, 00 0.0.0. 3 1 10(	a) (d) 51 (1).				
۵,	1. Certified copies of the priorit	v documents have bee	en received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priorit			tion No.				
	3. Copies of the certified copies	•						
	application from the Internat							
* (	See the attached detailed Office act	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	* **	red.				
Awas	.4/a\							
Attachmer	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summar	v (PTO-413)				
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review	(PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail [	Date				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Pr No(s)/Mail Date <u>24</u> .	or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/608,537

Art Unit: 3724

## DETAILED ACTION

## Double Patenting

1. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co., 151 U.S. 186 (1894); In re Ockert, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

- 2. Claims 1-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1, and 4-29 of prior U.S. Patent No.
- 6,454,634. This is a double patenting rejection.

## Conclusion

- 3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
  - a. The cited references disclose polishing pads.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy V Eley whose telephone number is 703-308-1824. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan N Shoap can be reached on 703-308-1082. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/608,537

Art Unit: 3724

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Timothy V Eley Primary Examiner Art Unit 3724 Page 3

tve